

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,543	06/29/2006	Charles Cooke	1030705000192 3905	
	7590 08/09/200 INGERSOLL & ROO	EXAMINER		
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			MUROMOTO JR, ROBERT H	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3765	
				•
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		$\mathcal{M}$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summers	10/576,543	COOKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TI. MAU NO DATE CUI	Robert H. Muromoto, Jr.	3765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	ne 2006.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/20/2006.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc., and the form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, and 5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Herrlein US patent 6,006,791.

'791 clearly discloses a mounting for a device for optical monitoring as recited in claim 1. The portion of the claim before the "wherein" clause being clearly admitted by applicant as prior art practice in the instant background of invention. Review of '791 also clearly teaches the arrangement as recited in claim 1 prior to the "wherein" portion of the claim.

Figure 1 shows a device mounted between the loom walls. The bolt 9 showing an axis 9a on the loom, and pin 4a corresponding to axis of the mounting, and support arm 4 corresponding to recited 'pivotal arm'. All limitations of claim 1 are disclosed.

Art Unit: 3765

Figure 1 clearly shows each side of the device having straight line plug-in sockets (bearing pins) on the axis of the device.

These sockets by definition are pivotally attached as recited in claim 5 since '791 discusses the ability of the device to be angularly displaced to meet the needs of the inspector.

The support arm 4 is pivotally attached at both ends as recited in claims 6-9.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrlein in view of Huber et al., US patent 4,582,968.

Although Herrlein teaches essentially all of the limitations of the claimed invention, Herrlein does not teach the use of a so-called "half shell" sleeve to pivotally connect the pivotal arm to the loom.

However, the use of "half-shell" bearing type devices for providing pivotal connections are known, as in '968.

'968 uses a half shell pivotal connection sleeve for a thread break detection system that incorporates two axes and a rocking arm connected to the half shell in a pivotal connection bearing housing or sleeve. The half sleeve housing in

Application/Control Number: 10/576,543 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

advantageous in that it dampens machine vibration and provides a stop for the pivotal attachment.

Since the Herrlein device differs from the claimed device by only the substitution of this known "half shell" coupling device for the same function as recited by '968, the result a pivotal coupling arrangement that dampens considerable weaving loom vibrations as claimed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert H. Muromoto, Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-4991. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-530, M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on 571-272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,543

Page 5

Art Unit: 3765

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Bobby Muromoto /Bobby Muromoto/ Patent examiner (partial signatory authority) Art unit 3765 July 30, 2007